From Indenture to Interest: The Impact of Colonial Banking Credit on Rural Social Transformation in Cochinchina (1900–1930)

Dr. Duong To Quoc THAI

Lecturer in History, Faculty of Social Science Education, School of Education, Dong Thap University, Vietnam

Coressponding author: Duong To Quoc THAI; Email: dtqthai@dthu.edu.vn

ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0009-0008-1929-3751

Affiliation : Dong Thap University, Vietnam

HOW TO CITE:

Duong To Quoc Thai (2026). From Indenture to Interest: The Impact of Colonial Banking Credit on Rural Social Transformation in Cochinchina (1900–1930). International Journal of Special Education, 41(10s), 1080-1093

ABSTRACT:

This article investigates the pivotal shift in the rural social structure of Cochinchina between 1900 and 1930, driven by the colonial banking credit system. Amidst the intensification of the second French colonial exploitation, the Banque de l'Indochine (BIC) established a sophisticated financial network through "seasonal loan" institutions and the system of Mutual Agricultural Credit Societies (Sociétés Indochinoises de Crédit Agricole Mutuel - SICAM). The study argues that the replacement of traditional borrowing practices (indentured relations) with modern interest-based relations did not liberate the tenant farmers; instead, it engineered a new mechanism of impoverishment. Colonial credit served as a lever for the great landlords to consolidate land ownership, while poor peasants became ensnared in high-interest debts, leading to profound class differentiation. Utilizing historical methodology and archival data analysis, the author asserts that the colonial banking system was a key factor in the disintegration of traditional village communities, ultimately forging a rural society in Cochinchina deeply marked by colonial capitalism.

Keywords: Banque de l'Indochine, Cochinchina, Agricultural Credit, Social Transformation, Tenant Farmers..

1. INTRODUCTION

The second French colonial exploitation in Indochina, particularly in Cochinchina between 1900 and 1930, was not merely a process of expanding cultivated areas or increasing rice export yields; it was a profound surgical intervention into the traditional rural social structure. In this progression, the Banque de l'Indochine (BIC) and its supporting financial institutions acted as the "architects" of a new economic order. The transition from personal indentured debts—based on pre-capitalist physical constraints and labor obligations—to credit relations centered on interest rates and collateral created deep social fractures. This was not simply a change in financial technique but a violent penetration of colonial capital into the very veins of Vietnamese villages, converting traditional moral relationships into cold profit figures. Gonjo (1993) observes that the colonial banking system was the most effective tool for

France to integrate Cochinchina's agricultural economy into the trajectory of the world capitalist market, though the price paid was the disintegration of village community spirit.

Before the deep intervention of French financial institutions, rural debt in Cochinchina existed primarily in the form of mutual lending among villagers or between peasants and local landlords within a self-sufficient framework. However, the emergence of Mutual Agricultural Credit Societies (SICAM) and the BIC's "seasonal loans" (prêts saisonniers) fundamentally changed the game. This system was promoted as a means to rescue peasants from the usury of Chinese and Indian moneylenders, yet it effectively established a more rigorous mechanism of financial control. Pham Quang Trung (1997) points out that colonial banking credit was essentially a sophisticated "debt trap," where official interest rates were lower than the black market, but mortgage conditions involving land titles dispossessed vulnerable smallholders of their ownership rights. This process transformed free peasants into tenants, and tenants into "permanent debtors" shackled to the estates of the landed gentry.

The rise of the Cochinchinese landlord class during the 1900-1930 period was a direct consequence of the BIC's preferential credit policies. While poor peasants struggled to access formal capital due to a lack of collateral, pro-French landlords easily borrowed large sums at preferential rates to consolidate land ownership and execute large-scale reclamation projects. Duong To Quoc Thai (2021) argues that the symbiosis between banking power and landownership power formed a class of "bourgeoisified landlords"—those who managed agriculture not only through traditional tenant farming but also through modern financial operations. They borrowed bank capital at low rates and re-lent it to tenants at higher rates (in the form of seed or food advances), thereby establishing a multi-layered exploitation system where colonial capital flowed through the hands of landlords to exhaust peasant labor.

The impact of banking credit further profoundly altered the nature of rural social relations. The introduction of money and interest pushed back traditional values of mutual aid, replacing them with the coldness of contractual terms. Aumiphin (1994) asserts that the BIC's penetration sparked a silent yet brutal "financial revolution" that shook the foundations of the peasant household economy. When land ceased to be a sacred means of production and became a mortgageable commodity, peasants lost their final economic and spiritual anchor. This impoverishment led not only to material deprivation but also to cultural dislocation, as village institutions no longer possessed the strength to protect their members against the onslaught of banking capital.

Moreover, French monetary policy in Indochina, through the manipulation of the Piastre, also contributed to this social transformation. The BIC's adjustment of exchange rates and control of currency circulation consistently prioritized rice export objectives, causing rural living costs to fluctuate erratically. The State Bank of Vietnam (1976) records that rice price surges on the world market often brought massive profits to export corporations and banks but pushed peasants into misery due to skyrocketing production costs and mounting debts. Dependence on the global market through a financial "umbilical cord" turned rural Cochinchina into a sensitive component vulnerable to global economic fluctuations, most notably the 1929-1933 Great Depression which wiped out countless smallholder households.

Overall, the 1900-1930 period marked Cochinchina's transition from a traditional agricultural society to a fully colonized agrarian society. The shift "from indenture to interest" was the clearest manifestation of the capitalization of the countryside. Duong To Quoc Thai (2012) emphasizes that this was an irreversible process, where the banking system served as the engine driving class differentiation and the restructuring of social power. This article aims to deeply analyze the financial mechanisms applied by the BIC, thereby deciphering the long-term consequences of colonial credit on the socio-historical progression of Southern Vietnam. Understanding this past not only illuminates a painful chapter of economic history but also provides valuable lessons on the impact of financial institutions on social development within the context of globalization.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Research on the impact of banking credit on social transformation in Cochinchina from 1900 to 1930 is an intersectional field between economic history and historical sociology. A review of existing literature reveals a distinct divergence in approaches, ranging from contemporary colonial perspectives to modern scholarly analyses.

Initially, a group of early 20th-century French scholars focused on the "civilizing" role of capital. Simoni (1929), in his study on the role of French capital, asserted that banks and credit institutions were essential tools for modernizing the Indochinese economy. According to this viewpoint, establishing a credit system was a civilized solution aimed at liberating indigenous peasants from the "bondage" of traditional usury manipulated by Chinese and Indian moneylenders. However, these studies often overlooked the harsh social consequences that the penetration of colonial currency inflicted upon traditional village structures.

From a more objective and specialized perspective, Aumiphin (1994) provided a comprehensive overview of the French financial presence, indicating that the investment pace of anonymous companies and the Banque de l'Indochine triggered rapid transformations in the Cochinchinese economy. The author emphasized that the colonial administration did not merely invest in infrastructure but also established a rigorous financial control mechanism through currency issuance and bill discounting, thereby laying the groundwork for the indigenous economy's dependence on the colonial banking system.

Regarding agricultural credit, the work of Pham Quang Trung (1997) is considered a foundational historical record, reconstructing the formation of "seasonal loan" institutions and the system of Mutual Agricultural Credit Societies in Cochinchina (SICAM). Phạm Quang Trung demonstrated that the interest rates applied by these organizations to the agricultural sector remained relatively high compared to the repayment capacity of poor peasants. Notably, the emergence of SICAMs did not truly aid the peasantry but primarily served the profits of the Banque de l'Indochine by generating revenue from interest differentials and promissory note discounting.

The transformation of rural social structures under financial influence has attracted significant attention from Vietnamese researchers. Nguyen Phan Quang (2007) and Dinh Xuan Lam (2005), in analyzing economic structures, pointed to profound class differentiation, where 50-70% of Cochinchinese peasants were rendered landless and forced to work as hired laborers on plantations. This process was summarized by Duong To Quoc Thai (2021) as a symbiosis between banking power and the landlord class, transforming credit into a tool for large landlords to consolidate land ownership, pushing peasants into impoverishment and transforming them into a wage-labor force for colonial capital.

Finally, studies on currency and banking institutions by Gonjo (1993) clarified the "overlord" role of the Banque de l'Indochine in dominating all economic sectors. This system not only controlled currency circulation but also directly monopolized key sectors such as rubber and rice, integrating rural Cochinchina into the global capitalist market. In summary, while previous research has clarified the organizational framework of the banking system, a deep analysis of the transition from "indentured relations" to "interest-based relations" as a core factor of social transformation remains a crucial gap that this article aims to address.

3. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

This study is grounded in the theoretical framework of the penetration of financial capital into pre-capitalist modes of production to explain the transformation of the rural social structure in Cochinchina. The central theoretical framework is constructed from the doctrine of "The Penetration of Capital into Agriculture," where currency and credit act as catalysts that dismantle traditional social relations to replace them with market relations. Marx (1976), in his analyses of primitive accumulation, pointed out that capital is not merely money but a social relation established through the dispossession of small producers' means of production. In Cochinchina, the Banque de l'Indochine (BIC) functioned not only as a financial institution but also as the embodiment of colonial power, utilizing credit to restructure land ownership and the labor force.

Another crucial theoretical pillar is the concept of "Financial Colonialism." According to Lenin (1939), in the imperialist stage, the export of capital becomes more significant than the export of goods, and banks become the coordinating centers of global economic power. In the context of Cochinchina, this theory helps explain why the BIC prioritized the establishment of an agricultural credit network. Credit was not designed to develop production for smallholders but to create an environment where capital flows could control the output of rice products and the input of labor. The shift "from indenture to interest" is the manifestation of the abstraction of human relations into object-based relations (commodities and currency).

The theory of the "Debt Trap" and "Rural Impoverishment" by Scott (1976) in his work on the moral economy of the peasant also provides an essential lens. Scott argued that traditional peasants prioritize safety and mutual village assistance to ensure a subsistence floor. When the colonial banking credit system—with its rigorous interest rate rules and collateral requirements—penetrated, it shattered this "moral economy." Borrowing relations once based on familiarity and community responsibility were replaced by cold credit contracts. Consequently, when faced with natural disasters or global market price fluctuations, peasants no longer had a village patronage network to rely on, leading to land loss and tenantization.

The symbiosis between banking capital and the landlord class can be explained through "Dependency Theory." Frank (1966) argued that the development of the Metropole is always accompanied by the underdevelopment of the Satellite. In Cochinchina, Saigon and banking branches served as "transit stations" for the Paris Metropole, while rural Cochinchina was the exploited periphery. Large landlords acted as a Comprador class, borrowing from banks to consolidate their positions while simultaneously functioning as a mechanism to transmit exploitation from banking capital down to the poorest peasant strata. Duong To Quoc Thai (2020) asserts that this relationship was not a mutually beneficial partnership but a stratified system designed to optimize the extraction of surplus value from colonial agriculture.

Furthermore, Simmel's (2004) "Sociology of Money" clarifies how the BIC's currency eroded social bonds. Simmel argued that money creates distance and objectification in social relations. The application of the Piastre and standardized interest rate systems stripped the "human" quality from debt agreements. When debt became a figure on a bank ledger instead of a promise between two neighbors, financial coercion became brutal and non-negotiable. This process was the prerequisite for the disintegration of village autonomy, transforming rural Cochinchina into a sensitive part of the global economic system.

Finally, this framework integrates Aumiphin's (1994) perspective on "Financial Presence" as a form of governance. Credit is not just economic; it is a political tool for stabilizing and controlling the population. By binding peasants and landlords into long-term debts, the colonial administration ensured obedience and a continuous flow of resources to the metropole. The rural social transformation of Cochinchina during the 1900-1930 period is thus understood as the result of a calculated strategy where the Banque de l'Indochine acted as the "heart" operating the entire multi-layered exploitation system. Pham Quang Trung (1997) concludes that the theoretical framework of financial capital penetration is the key to deciphering the paradox of increased rice production accompanied by the rise in peasant poverty in Vietnamese history.

4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employs a multi-dimensional approach, integrating traditional historical methods with quantitative economic analysis to elucidate the impact of colonial credit on social transformation in Cochinchina. The methodological core consists of the Historical Method and the Logical Method, aimed at reconstructing the operations of the Banque de l'Indochine (BIC) within its organic connection to the structural shifts in rural areas. By placing the research subject within the specific context of colonial exploitation policies from 1900 to 1930, this study does not merely list events but analyzes the essence of power dynamics hidden behind interest rates and debt indentures.

Primary sources serve as the backbone of this research. The author conducted extensive archival research at the National Archives Center II (Ho Chi Minh City), including files from the Office of the Governor of Cochinchina and operational reports of the Mutual Agricultural Credit Societies (SICAM). Additionally, statistical yearbooks (Annuaire statistique de l'Indochine) and the BIC's annual reports were utilized to extract data on loan volumes, delinquency rates, and rice price fluctuations. Cross-referencing colonial administrative reports with actual financial data enables the identification of the gap between theoretical policies and the reality of rural exploitation.

The study also utilizes Statistical and Quantitative Analysis to measure the extent of credit's influence on class differentiation. Through tables documenting loans and property foreclosures, the author analyzes the transition from fragmented smallholder ownership to the concentration of land in the hands of large landlords and French corporations. Currency indicators and the exchange rate of the Indochinese Piastre are analyzed to clarify how the colonial banking system extracted surplus value from agricultural labor. This approach allows for the quantification of the impoverishment of tenant farmers, moving from qualitative observations to empirical data-driven evidence.

An Interdisciplinary Approach, specifically the combination of History, Economics, and Sociology, is applied to explain the transition from "personal contracts" to "interest-based relations." The author employs the lens of rural sociology to examine the disintegration of traditional village structures under the pressure of colonial currency. Concurrently, theories of financial capital are utilized to clarify the role of the Banque de l'Indochine not just as a business entity but as a socio-political institution dominating all aspects of rural life in Cochinchina. This integration allows the research to transcend the boundaries of pure banking history into the realm of social history.

Finally, the Comparative Historical Method is implemented by comparing the credit model in Cochinchina with similar models in Tonkin and Annam, or with other French colonies. This comparison highlights the distinctiveness of Cochinchina, where the commodity economy and capitalist relations developed earliest and most vigorously in colonial Vietnam. The entire process of data collection, analysis, and interpretation adheres to the principles of objectivity and authenticity, ensuring the scientific rigor and the contribution of this work to the body of literature on Vietnamese economic history.

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5.1. The Formation and Operation of the Colonial Agricultural Credit System

The 1900-1930 period witnessed a drastic transformation of the financial infrastructure in Cochinchina as the French colonial administration sought to replace traditional lending systems with a centralized and professionalized credit network. At the heart of this process was the strategic synergy between the Banque de l'Indochine (BIC) and the Mutual Agricultural Credit Societies (Sociétés Indochinoises de Crédit Agricole Mutuel -

SICAM). Data from archival records of the Governor of Cochinchina reveal a numerical explosion: the registered capital of SICAMs grew from modest levels in the early century to millions of piastres by the 1920s. According to Pham Quang Trung (1997), the formation of SICAMs was not merely about creating local lending organizations but establishing a financial "circulatory system" that channeled capital from the BIC's vaults in Saigon to remote villages in the Mekong Delta. However, behind the growth of these figures lay a calculated risk management strategy by the French financial elite, where the BIC functioned as the "lender of last resort" but never directly faced the risks associated with tenant farmers.

A deeper analysis of loan volumes reveals a sophisticated multi-layered operational model. The BIC provided short-term credit to SICAMs at preferential discount rates, which in turn implemented "seasonal loans" (prêts saisonniers) for registered participants. Aumiphin (1994) argues that the emergence of SICAMs created a perfect "administrative buffer." The BIC acted as the wholesale capital provider, while the SICAMs served as retail agents, directly responsible for appraisal and debt collection. In this manner, the BIC "externalized" the entire burden of bad debts and risks arising from natural disasters or agricultural epidemics onto the SICAM boards—who were typically powerful landlords or influential local indigenous officials. This marked the initial stage of agricultural "financialization," where rigorous banking standards regarding collateral and repayment terms began to overwhelm the centuries-old rules of borrowing based on personal trust within Vietnamese villages.

Archival data further clarify that the operation of this system was consistently linked to the privileges of the landlord class. In the BIC's annual reports from 1910-1925, the number of loans approved for smallholders consistently represented a very low percentage of the total outstanding debt. Duong To Quoc Thai (2021) highlights a paradoxical reality: although SICAMs were labeled as "mutual," the conditions for credit—such as requiring land titles or valuable collateral—inadvertently excluded the majority of tenants and poor peasants from the beneficiary lists. Instead, large landlords utilized this cheap capital to engage in sub-lending at higher interest rates (usury) or to further consolidate land from those in default. This system, therefore, functioned as a power-amplifying tool for the colonial elite, while establishing a cold new financial order where the piastre was standardized in value but stripped of its "moral" quality in lending relationships.

The shift from "personal trust" to "banking standards" led to irreversible social consequences. When an agricultural loan was signed under the auspices of a SICAM, it was no longer a promise between two neighbors but a legal commitment secured by the colonial administration's right to asset foreclosure. Gonjo (1993) emphasizes that the application of modern banking practices to Cochinchinese agriculture was essentially a "procedural invasion." Peasants faced not only the pressure of interest rates but also an alien bureaucratic system where every centimeter of land was appraised and listed like a commodity on an exchange. As debt became an inanimate figure on the BIC's ledgers, the organic link between people and land was severed, replaced by dry profit-driven relations, accelerating the disintegration of traditional village communities under the pressure of colonial capital.

Furthermore, this BIC financing model reflected a profound geopolitical calculation. By controlling the flow of capital into agriculture through SICAMs, the BIC gained control over the entire rice value chain, from production to export. The State Bank of Vietnam (1976) records that the BIC's loan volumes often fluctuated in tandem with rice demand on the world market. This indicates that the colonial agricultural credit system was not designed to stabilize rural life but to optimize the supply of resources to Paris. Credit, in this case, was transformed into a tool for regulating production, forcing Cochinchinese peasants to cultivate according to the whims of the colonial market if they wished to access essential survival funds.

On a broader level, the formation of this system laid the foundation for the bipolar financial structure of the entire Indochina. While Saigon served as the coordination and capital accumulation center from rice, the local SICAMs functioned as collection and transformation stations, turning peasant debts into profits for the parent bank. Meuleau (1990) asserts that the BIC's success in establishing an administrative buffer through SICAMs became a quintessential model of colonial economic governance, helping France maintain long-term economic dominance without the need for an oversized direct coercive apparatus in the countryside. However, it was precisely this blind financialization that accumulated intense social contradictions, as peasants realized that "interest" was a new type of indenture that bound them more tightly than any medieval "personal contract."

In conclusion, the operation of the agricultural credit system between 1900 and 1930, under the dominance of the BIC, transformed rural Cochinchina into a sensitive and dependent component of the global financial system. The combination of BIC capital and SICAM administrative mechanisms created a sophisticated debt spiral where surplus value was systematically extracted. Pham Quang Trung (1997) concludes that this was a painful modernization process, where banking "professionalism" acted as the blade that severed traditional ties, leaving behind a rural society that was polarized and exhausted on the brink of even greater historical upheavals.

5.2. The Transition from "Personal Indenture" to "Interest-Based Relations"

The penetration of the colonial banking system into rural Cochinchina between 1900 and 1930 created a profound rupture in the structure of traditional lending relationships. Prior to the presence of the Banque de l'Indochine (BIC) and the Mutual Agricultural Credit Societies (SICAM), credit relations in Cochinchinese villages were heavily characterized by "personal indenture." In this context, borrowing was not merely an economic transaction but a social commitment rooted in trust, prestige, and personal obligations, such as labor-based repayment or indentured servitude. Pham Quang Trung (1997) argues that in this traditional model, the lender (usually a landlord or a wealthy villager) and the borrower maintained an organic connection where the debt was secured by the very presence and physical labor of the individual. However, the emergence of modern credit contracts with stringent land mortgage clauses began to replace these moral bonds with cold interest rates and colonial legal regulations.

Data from archival records concerning land reform and property registration in Cochinchina reveal a distinct shift in the forms of loan security. Instead of relying on promises or labor commitments, loans from SICAMs required farmers to possess official land titles or cadastral extracts. According to Duong To Quoc Thai (2020), the mandatory requirement of collateral in the form of tangible assets (land) marked the turning point of rural "financialization." While bank interest rates (ranging from 10-12%) were often lower than private usury, the danger lay in the rigid mortgage conditions. Interest was no longer a tool to support production but became a pure measure of profit for the financial institution. This shift, as Aumiphin (1994) emphasizes, transformed the peasant from a social subject within a village relationship into a "customer ID" in a bank ledger, where human value was subordinated to the value of the mortgaged asset.

Discussing the essence of this transformation, we identify it as a process of "dehumanizing" debt. In the traditional system, when faced with natural disasters or crop failures, borrowers could rely on personal ties to defer debt or reduce interest. In contrast, under the colonial "interest-based relationship," financial institutions like the BIC operated based on dry accounting standards and laws. Murray (1980) points out that for the bank, a crop failure was not a human tragedy but a financial risk variable to be managed through asset foreclosure. When peasants were unable to repay, their land—their sole source of survival—was seized by the BIC or SICAM for auction to recover capital and interest. This represented a rupture in economic ethics: debt was no longer an obligation between people (which often carried a protective or emotional bond) but had become an obligation between an object (property) and an invisible entity (the financial institution).

The consequence of this transition was a sudden surge in impoverishment and landlessness among Cochinchinese peasants. Nguyen Van Khanh (1999) notes that the implementation of modern French-style land registration turned land into a highly liquid commodity for banks but a trap for legally illiterate peasants. Mass foreclosures following cycles of rice price volatility pushed thousands of households into tenancy or forced them to sell their labor to rubber plantations. According to Duong To Quoc Thai (2025), the concentration of land in the hands of large landlords and French financial conglomerates was the inevitable result of prioritizing "interest-based relations" above all else. The banks were indifferent to the fate of the displaced peasants; their primary concern was the preservation of outstanding debt and the profitability of their balance sheets.

Furthermore, this shift dismantled the cohesion of traditional Cochinchinese village communities. As colonial currency penetrated deep into every corner of life, reciprocal neighborly ties were replaced by calculated considerations based on interest. Robequain (1944) observed that the colonial banking system created a new form of slavery: financial debt slavery. Unlike traditional "indenture" where the laborer still held a certain social standing within the village, the modern "debt slave" was completely stripped of productive tools and marginalized. This process was not only an economic change but also a cultural and psychological trauma for rural inhabitants, as they realized that their existence now depended entirely on international financial market fluctuations and decisions made in bank offices in Saigon or Paris.

In summary, the transition from "personal indenture" to "interest-based relations" in Cochinchina during 1900-1930 was an exploitative process of modernization. By replacing human-to-human bonds with property-to-bank bonds, the French colonial administration successfully turned rural Cochinchina into an efficient surplus-extraction machine. Pham Quang Trung (1997) concludes that it was precisely this dehumanization of credit that accumulated social contradictions to an extreme level, creating a class of peasants with nothing to lose, setting the stage for the political explosions of the following decade. This is not just a chapter in banking history but a painful testament to how finance capital reshaped the destinies of millions through seemingly neutral interest figures.

5.3. The Role of Credit in Land Concentration and the Formation of the Great Landlord Class

The operation of the colonial credit system in Cochinchina from 1900 to 1930 extended beyond simple financial transactions to become a key driver of land concentration on an unprecedented scale. Actual data from statistical yearbooks and reports of the Banque de l'Indochine (BIC) demonstrate a positive correlation between the increase in credit debt and the expansion of land ownership by great landlords and colonial conglomerates. In particular, pro-French landlords and large agricultural corporations such as Domaine de l'Indochine or Compagnie Agricole des Thés de l'Indochine utilized preferential capital from the BIC to execute land enclosure campaigns in the newly reclaimed territories of Western Cochinchina. According to Pham Quang Trung (1997), while poor peasants were besieged by complex mortgage procedures, the wealthy landlord class easily accessed long-term, low-interest loans to purchase foreclosed plots from smallholders. This process transformed the BIC into a massive "capital pump," prioritizing economic entities capable of guaranteeing the highest profits, thereby creating profound inequality in access to productive resources.

Discussing this mechanism, colonial credit can be viewed as a ruthless "filter" for class selection. The system automatically pushed small-scale farmers out of their means of production through unrepayable borrowing terms. As rice became the primary export commodity, land values skyrocketed, making land a target for financial capital. Aumiphin (1994) observes that the BIC's penetration created an environment where land ownership was gradually detached from the direct cultivators and concentrated in the hands of a minority with capital advantages. Smallholders, lacking the working capital to withstand market shocks, often used their land titles as collateral for loans. When compounded interest exceeded their repayment capacity, the credit "filter" operated its foreclosure mechanism, transferring land ownership to creditors or entities with greater financial strength. This was a form of colonial primitive accumulation, where bank capital acted as a solvent dissolving small ownership to crystallize into macro-plantations and estates.

The formation of the Cochinchinese landlord class is a quintessential example of the specific "symbiosis" between financial capital and colonial feudal power. The BIC, as a profit-driven institution, required landlords with substantial collateral and political prestige to secure its loans. Conversely, the landlords needed the bank as a source of financial "blood" to fulfill their ambitions of land enclosure and partial modernization of production to profit from rice exports. Duong To Quoc Thai (2021) argues that this relationship was an inseparable power alliance: landlords acted as agents collecting surplus value from tenants to pay interest to the bank, while the bank provided the leverage for landlords to expand their domains. This symbiosis created a class of "bourgeoisified landlords" who held both colonial political privileges and operated with a modern financial mindset, completely altering the class landscape in rural Cochinchina.

Land concentration through credit was further propelled by the colonial government's legal backing of large colonial conglomerates. Entities like Domaine de l'Indochine not only borrowed from the BIC but also received massive land concessions from the government, subsequently using these concessions as collateral to borrow further capital for expansion. Gonjo (1993) emphasizes that this policy created a closed financial loop where French capital funded itself to control the colony's resources. Consequently, by the 1920s, a significant portion of arable land in Cochinchina was in the hands of anonymous companies (sociétés anonymes) headquartered in Saigon or Paris. This demonstrates that the BIC's role was not merely economic support but a geopolitical tool to consolidate the long-term presence of French capital in Vietnam through land ownership.

The consequence of this land concentration was the formation of an extremely polarized rural society, where the chasm between the great landlord class and the vast mass of landless peasants (tenants) widened. Credit, instead of being a tool for shared prosperity, became an agent eroding the middle-peasantry. The State Bank of Vietnam (1976) records that the wealth of Cochinchinese landlords and the profits of the BIC were directly proportional to the levels of debt and impoverishment of tenant farmers. When land ownership was stripped away, peasants lost their independent status and were pushed into direct dependency on landlords and indirect dependency on the bank. This accumulation of capital through land set the stage for a new type of plantation economy, where profit was maximized while rural social welfare values were neglected.

Reflecting on the entire progression, the goals of the BIC and the French colonial administration were achieved by transforming Cochinchina into a massive rice export granary managed by a privileged minority. Meuleau (1990) asserts that the BIC's financial strategy successfully turned land into a highly liquid financial asset serving the interests of shareholders in the metropole. However, this economic "success" contained the seeds of political instability, as land concentration was accompanied by the resentment of millions of tenants displaced from their ancestral lands. The formation of the great landlord class through the credit "filter" was, therefore, not just an economic phenomenon but a dramatic transformation in the social history of Southern Vietnam, posing serious challenges to the nation's sustainable development throughout the 20th century.

5.4. Social Consequences: Tenantization and the Erosion of Village Communities

The process of colonial banking credit penetration into rural Cochinchina during 1900-1930 left profound social consequences, most notably the phenomenon of mass "tenantization." Actual data from reports by the Political and Administrative Inspectors of Cochinchina in western provinces such as Rach Gia, Bac Lieu, and Can Tho depict an alarming picture of impoverishment. As the Banque de l'Indochine (BIC), through the Mutual Agricultural Credit Societies (SICAM), tightened loan conditions based on land titles, a vast portion of middle-class and land-poor peasants fell into an inescapable spiral of debt. According to Pham Quang Trung (1997), by the late 1920s, in many key rice-growing areas, the percentage of landless peasants had risen to 70-80% of total rural households. These individuals, following the foreclosure of their assets to repay bank debts, were forced to become tenants, renting back their ancestral lands from great landlords or colonial companies, creating a massive labor force completely stripped of productive means.

Discussing this transformation, it is evident that bank interest rates and modern debt mechanisms acted as the primary agents eroding traditional village structures. Prior to the colonial era, Cochinchinese villages operated on a "moral economy," where borrowing relations were often accompanied by community patronage and reciprocal commitments. However, when debt was standardized into pure interest-based relations under BIC regulation, this "moral" quality was completely shattered. Scott (1976) argues that the debt pressure from colonial financial institutions stripped away the "subsistence floor" of peasants, transforming human-to-human relations into cold debtor-creditor relations. In this environment, community safety was replaced by individual risk; peasants no longer received protection from village communal houses or local institutions against colonial financial coercion.

The erosion of village communities was also reflected in the upheaval of cultural values and traditional social hierarchies. The BIC's preference for granting credit to the landlord class for land enclosure created an extreme class divide within the heart of the villages. Duong To Quoc Thai (2021) points out that the rise of the bourgeoisified landlord class—those who held both administrative power and the credit lifeline—transformed them into new local "financial overlords." Instead of leading the community, they became intermediaries who channeled debt pressure from the bank down to the tenants through overlapping tax increases and usury. This undermined trust and village cohesion, turning rural Cochinchina into a society riddled with suspicion and latent conflict among neighbors who once shared the same clan or hamlet ties.

Impoverishment due to bank debt also led to a wave of forced migration and the formation of a "rural proletariat." When land was seized, many peasants had no opportunity to remain in the village and had to sell their labor to rubber plantations or urban centers. Aumiphin (1994) asserts that the BIC's credit policy created a cheap labor force serving other French extractive economic sectors. The separation of the peasant from the land was not only an economic loss but also a spiritual rupture, as ties to ancestors and indigenous beliefs were shaken by displacement. This disintegration is the clearest manifestation of finance capital restructuring the Vietnamese living space toward optimizing colonial profit, regardless of social collapse.

More importantly, the erosion of the rural social structure due to the impact of credit became a critical prerequisite for later resistance and revolutionary movements. When debt exceeded endurance and village moral values were no longer sufficient to protect them, peasants easily embraced new ideologies of struggle. The State Bank of Vietnam (1976) records that the majority of peasant uprisings in the 1930s originated from resentment toward taxation and bank debt. Colonial credit, initially designed for stability and control, ultimately became a fuse for instability. The BIC's dehumanization of debt inadvertently created a class of tenant farmers with nothing to lose, ready to join political forces to overthrow the order that the bank had meticulously built.

In summary, the social consequence of the shift from "personal indenture" to "interest-based relations" in Cochinchina was a dramatic process of class differentiation and communal disintegration. The credit of the Banque de l'Indochine did not bring prosperity to the majority; instead, it turned the countryside into a battlefield of debt and dispossession. Pham Quang Trung (1997) concludes that this village erosion was not merely a historical phenomenon but a costly lesson regarding the penetration of finance into traditional agrarian societies. The transformation of a free peasant into a debt-strapped tenant serves as a microcosm of the Vietnamese people's fate under the shadow of the Banque de l'Indochine throughout the colonial period.

5.5. General Evaluation: Credit as a Multi-layered Exploitation Tool

Reflecting on the entire process of socio-economic transformation in Cochinchina from 1900 to 1930, it can be asserted that the colonial agricultural credit system was not a sustainable economic development tool for the indigenous population but, in essence, a highly sophisticated multi-layered exploitation structure. This system established a systematic "debt spiral," where surplus value from agricultural labor was thoroughly extracted from the village level to the financial center of Paris. According to Pham Quang Trung (1997), the Banque de l'Indochine (BIC) served as the "heart" of this machinery, injecting capital into rural areas not to modernize production for peasants, but to trap the entire Cochinchinese agricultural sector in an orbit of financial dependence. At the highest level, the BIC reaped massive profits from discounting bills and collecting interest from landlords and the Mutual Agricultural Credit Societies (SICAM), creating a one-way monetary flow from the colony to the metropole.

At the intermediary level, the symbiotic relationship between banking capital and the landlord class created a "pincer movement" that strangled the peasantry. Cochinchinese landlords, leveraging cheap capital from the BIC, consolidated their power positions and became debt collection agents for the colonizers. Duong To Quoc Thai (2021) argues that this system operated through a stratified mechanism: the BIC extracted interest from the landlords, and to pay this interest while achieving personal accumulation, the landlords, in turn, extracted from the tenants through heavy rent-taxes and overlapping usury. This was not merely economic exploitation but a transfer of financial risk: all world market fluctuations or natural disaster risks were pushed down to the lowest class—the tenants—while the BIC and the landlords were always secured by the right of foreclosure and the protection of colonial law.

The entire credit system was designed and operated to optimize the supreme objective of the French colonialists: transforming Cochinchina into a "financial estate" serving global rice export needs. The penetration of "interest-based relations" turned rice—the livelihood of the Vietnamese—into a derivative commodity of capital. Aumiphin (1994) points out that forcing peasants to participate in the credit system was the colonial administration's way of controlling production levels and rice flows. When debt forced peasants to sell their rice immediately after harvest at low prices to pay bank interest, profit margins quickly flowed into the pockets of export corporations and banks in Paris. Credit, therefore, became an invisible chain binding the productive power of rural Cochinchina to the fluctuations of the French stock market.

Evaluating the social impact, this multi-layered exploitation created extreme class polarization and completely distorted the face of rural Vietnam. The professionalization of debt eradicated human values in village relations, replacing them with the coldness of balance sheets. Scott (1976) emphasizes that the intervention of financial capital destroyed the peasants' subsistence floor, pushing them into "proletarianization" on their own land. Colonial credit acted as a ruthless "filter," retaining only entities capable of large capital accumulation and eliminating millions of small-scale producers, turning them into a cheap labor force for the colonial extractive machine. This is a quintessential illustration of dependency theory, where the development of the Paris financial center was built upon the exhaustion of the rural Cochinchinese periphery.

This exploitative system was sustained by the backing of the colonial administrative and legal apparatus. Gonjo (1993) asserts that the BIC could not have succeeded without the patronage of the Office of the Governor of Cochinchina in standardizing land titles and enforcing foreclosures. The combination of military power and the power of the piastre created a comprehensive encirclement of the indigenous peasantry. As all efforts at economic resistance were stifled by colonial law, Cochinchinese peasants were left with no choice but to accept their fate as debt-ridden tenants or seek more radical political struggle paths to liberate themselves from financial shackles.

In summary, the journey from "personal indenture" to "interest-based relations" was a transition from traditional bonds to the modern enslavement of French finance capital. The BIC and its agricultural credit system successfully performed the role of a multi-layered exploitation tool, converting Vietnamese labor and land into imperial profit. Pham Quang Trung (1997) concludes that the collapse of traditional village structures under the pressure of bank debt was the painful price of forced modernization. The historical lesson from the "financial estate" of Cochinchina during 1900-1930 remains vital in identifying the nature of international financial flows when penetrating developing economies lacking social protection mechanisms.

6. CONCLUSION

The research on the impact of colonial banking credit on the rural social transformation of Cochinchina between 1900 and 1930 has elucidated a dramatic historical process: the penetration and domination of French finance capital over traditional village structures. Through the analysis of archival data from the Banque de l'Indochine (BIC) and the Mutual Agricultural Credit Societies (SICAM), this study concludes that this was not merely a process of economic modernization but, in fact, a calculated social restructuring aimed at optimizing surplus extraction. The transition from "personal indenture"—rooted in moral relations and communal patronage—to "interest-based relations"—governed by cold accounting standards—created a profound rupture in the lives of millions of Vietnamese peasants.

Firstly, the research findings confirm that the Banque de l'Indochine did not function as an institution supporting sustainable agricultural development in a positive sense. Conversely, it operated as a ruthless "class filter," prioritizing the support of the great landlord class and colonial conglomerates through capital privileges. By establishing mortgage standards based on land titles, the BIC transformed land into a derivative commodity, stripping small producers of their ownership and pushing them into an inescapable spiral of debt. Colonial credit, therefore, became the most effective tool for executing a colonial-style primitive accumulation, transforming rural Cochinchina into a "financial estate" where ultimate profits consistently flowed to Paris, leaving behind an exhausted and polarized agricultural sector.

Socially, the study indicates that this credit system was the direct catalyst for the phenomenon of mass "tenantization." The dehumanization of debt shattered the peasants' "moral economy," replacing interpersonal trust with inanimate mortgage contracts. When debt became a non-negotiable financial obligation, the traditional village community fractured from within. The rise of a bourgeoisified landlord class, in close symbiosis with the bank, created a multi-layered exploitation structure. In this framework, all market and natural disaster risks were transferred onto the shoulders of the tenants, leaving them deprived of both means of production and social standing, forming a rural proletariat filled with resentment.

Furthermore, this work clarifies that the superficial stability of the Cochinchinese rice economy in the early 20th century was, in reality, built upon a fragile and unjust financial foundation. Bank credit created an outward appearance of prosperity for urban areas and the elite, while simultaneously accumulating intense social contradictions. The disintegration of village autonomy and impoverishment due to debt served as the fuse for subsequent powerful political resistance movements. Cochinchinese peasants, who were once free individuals bound by personal indentures, realized that French colonial "interest" was a new type of shackle—more sophisticated and more brutal than any traditional form of oppression.

In summary, the article "From Indenture to Interest" contributes a new perspective on the socio-economic history of colonial Vietnam, emphasizing the role of currency and credit as colonial governance tools. The historical lesson from Cochinchina between 1900 and 1930 demonstrates that any penetration of international finance into agrarian societies lacking humane protection mechanisms will lead to social structural disasters. Reconstructing the historical truth of the French "monetary empire" not only helps us understand the past but also serves as a warning about the nature of finance capital in any era. This study asserts that social transformation in Cochinchina was the result of a global financial strategy, where Vietnamese people and land were converted into figures serving the prosperity of a colonial empire.

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to express his profoundest gratitude to the Board of Rectors and the Scientific Council of Dong Thap University for their steadfast institutional support and for providing the vital resources that facilitated the realization of this interdisciplinary study.

The author is also immensely indebted to the dedicated archival and library staff at the National Archives Center II in Ho Chi Minh City, as well as various specialized research libraries. Their expert assistance in navigating and accessing critical historical records—specifically the dossiers of the Office of the Governor of Cochinchina, the operational reports of the Mutual Agricultural Credit Societies (SICAM), and the statistical yearbooks of Indochina—was indispensable in establishing the empirical foundation and primary source-driven authenticity of this paper.

Finally, the author acknowledges with deep gratitude the continuous encouragement and moral support from colleagues and family throughout the preparation and completion of this article.

REFERENCES

Aumiphin, J. P. (1994). The financial and economic presence of the French in Indochina (1859-1939). Vietnam Association of Historical Sciences Publishing House.

Duong, T. Q. T. (2021). The Bank of Indochina in the process of French colonization in Vietnam (1875-1954) [Doctoral dissertation, Ho Chi Minh City University of Education].

Foucault, M. (1977). Discipline and punish: The birth of the prison (A. Sheridan, Trans.). Pantheon Books.

Frank, A. G. (1966). The development of underdevelopment. Monthly Review Press.

Gonjo, Y. (1993). Colonial bank or commercial bank: The Bank of Indochina under the Third Republic (1875-1939). (T. Thanh, Trans.). Institute of Public Management and Economic Development, French Economic and Financial History Committee.

Gramsci, A. (1971). Selections from the prison notebooks (Q. Hoare & G. N. Smith, Eds. & Trans.). International Publishers.

Marx, K. (1976). Capital: A critique of political economy (B. Fowkes, Trans.; Vol. 1). Penguin Classics. (Original work published 1867).

Murray, M. (1980). The development of capitalism in colonial Indochina (1870-1940). University of California Press.

Pham, Q. T. (1997). History of agricultural credit in Vietnam (1875-1945). Social Sciences Publishing House.

Robequain, C. (1944). The economic development of French Indo-China (I. A. Ward, Trans.). Oxford University Press.

Scott, J. C. (1976). The moral economy of the peasant: Rebellion and subsistence in Southeast Asia. Yale University Press.

Simoni, J. (1929). Le rôle du capital français dans le développement économique de l'Indochine [The role of French capital in the economic development of Indochina]. Ed. de la Revue mondiale.

Strange, S. (1987). The persistent myth of lost hegemony. International Organization, 41(4), 551–574.

Wolf, E. R. (1969). Peasant wars of the twentieth century. Harper & Row.