Saigon Branch as a Capital Export Hub: Financing the Colonial Expansion into Tonkin (1885-1895)

Dr. Duong To Quoc Thai

Lecturer in History, Faculty of Social Science Education, School of Education, Dong Thap University, Vietnam.
Email: dtqthai@dthu.edu.vn. ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0009-0008-1929-3751

HOW TO CITE:
Duong To Quoc Thai (2026). Saigon Branch as a Capital Export Hub: Financing the Colonial Expansion into Tonkin (1885-1895). International Journal of Special Education, 41(8s), 714-726

ABSTRACT:
This article elucidates the pivotal role of the Saigon branch of the Banque de l'Indochine (BIC) as a core financial "powerhouse" that propelled the French military pacification and colonial expansion in Tonkin during the 1885-1895 period. By examining archival records and prominent historical scholarship, the author argues that Saigon evolved beyond its status as a commercial port to become a strategic headquarters for "reverse capital export," effectively offsetting the chronic military budget deficits imposed by the metropole.

The study provides an in-depth analysis of the collaborative mechanism between banking magnates and military high command, wherein Indochinese banknotes were deployed as an economic instrument to sustain logistics and provisions for expeditionary forces. The "eagerness" of the Saigon branch in lobbying the Board of Directors in Paris to fund the Northern theater was, in essence, a calculated venture aimed at securing mineral concessions and market monopolies. The article concludes that the expansion into Tonkin was a dual-track process in which the Banque de l'Indochine provided the indispensable financial infrastructure to realize military objectives, thereby institutionalizing a distinctive form of financial colonialism in Vietnam.

Keywords: Banque de l'Indochine, Saigon Branch, Capital Export, Tonkin Campaign, Military Finance.

1. Introduction

In the history of French colonialism in Vietnam, the period of 1885-1895 marked a decisive turning point as France transitioned from mere military occupation to the establishment of a centralized administrative apparatus and large-scale economic exploitation. The heart of this process lay not only in the military prowess on the Tonkin battlefields but also in the operation of a massive "financial machine" coordinated from the South. The Banque de l'Indochine (BIC), particularly its Saigon branch, transcended its role as a mere credit institution to become the "backbone" of all expanding military campaigns. According to Aumiphin (1994), the presence of French financial capital in Indochina during this period was a systematic infiltration, where economic decisions were consistently intertwined with the political and military objectives of the colonial government.

The establishment of the BIC in 1875 in Saigon was initially intended to regulate and provide credit to the Cochinchina market, which was experiencing a boom in rice exports. However, following the Treaty of Patenôtre (1884), when the ambition to fully conquer Tonkin became the top priority, the Saigon branch was elevated to the status of a "Capital Export Hub." Duong

(2020) argues that the BIC in Saigon executed its role as financial capital thoroughly by mobilizing accumulated resources from Cochinchina to funnel into the Northern theaters, creating a specific intra-regional capital flow dedicated to warfare.

This "eagerness" of the Saigon branch stemmed from a grim reality: the French government in Paris at the time was facing fierce opposition from the National Assembly regarding expeditionary budgets. In a context where capital from the metropole was severely restricted, securing "local" financial resources became a matter of survival. Baudoin (1903) pointed out in early studies that the BIC was the entity that guaranteed and provided massive advances, allowing the French military to maintain its presence in Tonkin without immediately exhausting the national treasury. The primary instrument of this process was the "Indochinese Banknote"—an economic weapon that allowed the BIC to proactively regulate purchasing power and settle military logistics.

Furthermore, the relationship between the Banque de l'Indochine and the French military extended beyond simple lending. Gonjo (1993) emphasizes that the BIC operated as a typical "colonial bank," where the interests of shareholders in Paris were inextricably linked to territorial expansion for the monopoly of mineral extraction. This explains why, as soon as the French military captured key strongholds, the BIC immediately established branches in Haiphong and Hanoi. According to Marc (1990), the footsteps of these banking "pioneers" were essentially an extension of military power, transforming newly pacified lands into economic entities within the financial orbit of Saigon and Paris.

In Vietnam, archival records on monetary history also confirm that the stability of the Indochinese banknote during the 1885-1895 period was intimately dependent on French military victories (State Bank of Vietnam, 1973). Had the French military failed, confidence in the BIC's currency would have collapsed. Therefore, the Saigon branch financed the war not only for profit but also to protect the very existence of the financial system it operated. This study, therefore, focuses on elucidating the "dual-track" expansion mechanism between finance and the military, asserting that without the reinforcement from the Saigon capital export hub, the French invasion of Tonkin might have faced an entirely different scenario.

2. Literature Review

The scholarly landscape concerning the Banque de l'Indochine (BIC) and its role in the French colonial expansion in Vietnam reveals a complex intersection between financial and military history. Both domestic and international scholars have approached this issue from various perspectives; however, the specific role of the Saigon branch as an intra-regional capital export hub to finance the Tonkin theater remains a crucial piece of the puzzle that requires further clarification.

2.1. The Banque de l'Indochine within the Strategy of Financial Colonialism

Classic studies of the BIC often emphasize its unique nature as a "colonial bank." Gonjo (1993), in his extensive research on the BIC during the Third Republic, pointed out that this bank did not operate according to standard commercial rules but served as a politico-economic instrument of the French government. Gonjo argues that the BIC was established to resolve budgetary impasses in the metropole, allowing the ruling class to undertake military adventures in the Far East through privileges in currency issuance and advances to the colonial treasury.

Echoing this view, Marc (1990) focuses on the history of the BIC's formation and development as a "pioneer" in the Far East. Marc details the close coordination between bankers and French military officers to establish a presence in new territories. According to Marc, the expansion of the BIC's branch network in Vietnam closely followed military objectives, where capital flows preceded military units to establish logistical bases and economic confidence for the occupation apparatus.

2.2. The Saigon Branch: From Accumulation Center to Capital Export Hub

Regarding the specific role of the Saigon branch, Duong (2020) provides a systematic overview of the BIC's role as financial capital. The author emphasizes that Saigon was not only the first headquarters in Indochina but also the largest center of capital accumulation, thanks to profits from Cochinchina's rice exports. Dương argues that, rather than repatriating all profits to Paris, the BIC utilized a significant portion of the capital accumulated in Saigon to "reverse-export" it to Tonkin in the form of military loans and mining investments during the 1885–1895 period. This represents a novel understanding of colonial capital flows: capital did not merely flow from the metropole to the colony but also circulated between colonial regions to serve pacification goals.

This financial presence is further analyzed by Aumiphin (1994) from the perspective of its pressure on the indigenous economy. Aumiphin points out that the Saigon branch's financing of the Tonkin campaigns was essentially a mobilization of Cochinchina's resources to serve expansionist ambitions in the North. This process was not only economic but also military-coercive, as Indochinese banknotes became the exclusive medium of exchange, forcing indigenous populations and military units to rely entirely on the BIC's system.

2.3. The Nexus Between Finance and Military Campaigns in Tonkin

In the context of military history, Ta Thi Thuy (2018), when studying the mining industry, indirectly highlighted the link between the military's capital needs and the BIC's patronage. Tạ argues that the bank's "eagerness" to finance the French military occupation of coal mining areas like Hon Gai and Cam Pha was a prerequisite for the BIC to secure future mining concessions. Military finance during this period was, therefore, a form of "pre-investment" by Saigon's financial capital.

On the monetary side, the work of the State Bank of Vietnam (1976) on banking history emphasized the currency war between Indochinese banknotes and indigenous currencies during the pacification period. This document indicates that the BIC's Saigon branch flooded Tonkin with banknotes to pay soldier salaries and purchase military supplies, creating a "monetary invasion" that undermined the economic resistance of the Nguyen court and insurgent forces.

Finally, Philippe (2000), in his study of colonial policy, asserts that the success of the French military in Tonkin (1885–1895) would not have been sustainable without the financial "lifeline" from Saigon. During a period when the French Parliament was vacillating on whether to continue getting bogged down in the Indochina theater, the BIC's localized financial support created a fait accompli, forcing the metropole to persist with the expansion.

2.4. Research Gap

Although the aforementioned studies have sketched a general picture, the specific connection between the Saigon branch's financial reports and military orders in Tonkin within a theoretical framework of "intra-colonial capital export" remains underdeveloped. This article will build upon these scholarly achievements while focusing on the decisions of the BIC Board of Directors and the Saigon branch to substantiate the thesis: Saigon was the decisive financial "power station" for the success of the expansion into Tonkin.

3. Theoretical Framework

To adequately address the role of the Saigon Branch of the Banque de l'Indochine (BIC) as a financial hub for the expansion into Tonkin (1885-1895), this study establishes a multidimensional theoretical framework based on classical theories of financial imperialism, the movement of colonial capital, and the symbiotic relationship between military and finance.

3.1. Theories of Financial Imperialism and Capital Export

The first theoretical foundation of this study is rooted in the perspective of financial imperialism. In the late 19th-century context, the transition from commercial colonialism to financial colonialism was an inevitability for Western powers. Aumiphin (1994) applied this view to demonstrate that for France, the establishment of the BIC was not merely about creating a bank, but about creating an institution to exercise supreme financial power in newly occupied territories.

The concept of "capital export" plays a central role in this framework. According to the traditional understanding, capital is exported from the Metropole to the Colony. However, this study expands this concept into "intra-colonial capital export." Gonjo (1993) emphasizes that the BIC operated as an autonomous entity, where surplus profits accumulated in a stabilized colonial region (Cochinchina) were reinvested to "purchase" control in a new colonial region (Tonkin). This represents the "war nourishing war" model under a modern financial guise.

3.2. The Colonial Banking Model and the Privilege of Currency Issuance

The "Colonial Banking Model" is the second component of the theoretical framework. Unlike commercial banks in the metropole, colonial banks held privileges that were political in nature. Marc (1990) argues that the privilege of currency issuance (Right of Seigniorage) was the BIC's ultimate weapon. Within this framework, Indochinese banknotes were not just a medium of exchange but a debt instrument. When the Saigon branch issued banknotes to finance the French military in Tonkin, they were essentially converting political trust into physical resources to sustain the war.

The theory of the "Zeal of Capital" is also applied to explain the BIC's behavior. According to Duong (2012), financial capital consistently tends to expand toward areas with the highest profit rates and where risks are guaranteed by the military. The coordination between BIC Saigon and the French military high command exemplifies the "Power Alliance" theory, where the bank provides the "blood" (finance) and the military provides the "space" (territory) to exercise extraction privileges.

3.3. Theories of Military Finance and Colonial Logistics

This theoretical framework further incorporates perspectives on military finance within a colonial context. Baudoin (1903) identified the early link between the stability of the treasury and the operational capacity of expeditionary forces. During the 1885-1895 period, when budgets from Paris were bottlenecked, the theory of "Alternative Financing" explains how the Saigon branch filled the budgetary gap. The BIC's advancement of funds to the military was not a standard credit transaction but a form of "insurance investment" for the survival of the colonial regime.

Ta Thi Thuy (2018) adds a resource economy perspective, suggesting that military financing was part of the colonial value supply chain. This theory posits that financial capital "goes ahead" to clear the way for industrial capital (mining, railways). Thus, the expansion into Tonkin is understood as a logical progression: Saigon's financial capital invests in the military → Military occupies mining regions → French industrial capital extracts → Profits return to the Bank.

Finally, the study utilizes the theory of "Monetary Dependency." According to the State Bank of Vietnam (1976), introducing Indochinese banknotes into the Tonkin war zone was a means to abolish local monetary sovereignty. When the French military paid salaries in BIC currency, they forced the indigenous economy to enter the French financial vortex. This was a decisive step in transforming military occupation into sustainable economic occupation.

4. Research Methodology

To rigorously address the research questions regarding the role of the Saigon branch as a capital export hub financing the expansion in Tonkin (1885-1895), this study employs an interdisciplinary methodological framework that strictly integrates traditional historical inquiry with quantitative economic analysis.

4.1. Historical Materialism and Logical Methods

The research adheres strictly to the methodology of historical materialism, viewing the financial activities of the Banque de l'Indochine (BIC) not as isolated economic acts but as organic components of the colonial process. The historical method is utilized to reconstruct the specific context of the 1885-1895 period, when military shifts in Tonkin exerted immense financial pressure on the colonial administration. Concurrently, logical methods are applied to analyze the causal link between surplus accumulation from rice exports in Cochinchina and the Saigon branch's decisions to advance funds to the expeditionary forces.

4.2. Economic History Method

The specific nature of the topic necessitates the application of economic history techniques. This study "decodes" financial statements (Bilans) and Board of Directors' minutes (Procès-verbaux) to track the flow of credits. This approach allows the author to look past surface-level political rhetoric to grasp the essence of monetary transactions. As demonstrated by Gonjo (1993), analyzing fluctuations in the piastre exchange rate and the movement of tirages (remittances) between Saigon and Haiphong/Hanoi is the key to determining the scale of military financing.

4.3. Heuristic and Source Criticism Methods

These are pivotal methods for ensuring the objectivity of the work. The study relies on the exploitation of diverse primary sources:

- Archival Records: Utilizing record groups at the National Archives Center II (Ho Chi Minh City), such as the Governor of Cochinchina files, along with overseas records in Aix-en-Provence, France.

- BIC Internal Reports: Reports presented to the General Assembly of Shareholders and the Saigon branch's annual reports for the 1885-1895 period.

- Source Criticism: The author performs a cross-comparison between the "rosy" reports sent to shareholders in Paris and the actual urgent telegrams between bank governors and military leaders on the ground to extract historical truths.

4.4. Statistical and Quantitative Comparative Methods

To substantiate the "capital export hub" thesis, the research uses statistical methods to construct comparative tables regarding:

- The proportion of the Saigon branch's working capital relative to the entire BIC system.

- The value of advances made to the colonial treasury for military campaigns in Tonkin compared to the total outstanding commercial loans. The processing of these financial data is conducted cautiously, cross-referencing BIC sources with the Annuaire Statistique de l'Indochine to ensure reliability.

4.5. Interdisciplinary Approach

The research is not limited to historiography but integrates concepts from macroeconomics and international finance, such as seigniorage privileges and the theory of optimal currency areas. This combination helps explain why the Saigon branch's monopoly on banknote issuance was the most effective tool for mobilizing local resources for the war of conquest.

5. Results And Discussion

5.1. The Financial Potential of the Saigon Branch and the Origins of "Capital Export"

The financial potential of the Saigon branch of the Banque de l'Indochine (BIC) during the 1885-1895 period was not merely a standalone economic phenomenon but a symbol of colonial power in the Far East. To understand why Saigon could function as a financial "power station" for the expansion into Tonkin, one must examine the specific mechanism of capital accumulation in Cochinchina. The origins of this "capital export" flow resided in the BIC's absolute control over the rice economy – the lifeblood of the six provinces of Lower Cochinchina. Through a monopoly on currency issuance and exchange control, the Saigon branch executed a sophisticated economic "drainage" process: purchasing rice with piastres issued by the bank itself, then exporting it to acquire hard foreign currencies such as the French Franc or the British Pound. The profits from exchange rate differentials and rice export credit fees generated a massive volume of "idle capital" within the bank's vaults in Saigon. Pham Quang Trung (1997) points out that the Saigon branch's exclusive privilege in financing rice transactions not only brought commercial profits but also created a basis for the bank to manipulate the entire regional monetary system, turning the piastre into a debt instrument against the indigenous economy. This was a crucial preparation of resources to implement the strategy of "using Cochinchina to sustain Tonkin" under the guise of international finance.

The position of the Saigon branch within the BIC system at that time was entirely unique, far exceeding the scale of other branches in the French colonial network. If compared to the Pondichéry branch in India or the Nouméa branch in New Caledonia, one observes a staggering disparity in both total assets and working capital. While other branches functioned only as small settlement outposts for limited trade, the Saigon branch possessed a balance sheet with weight comparable to that of a true central bank. Gonjo (1993) emphasizes that by the late 1880s, the Saigon branch held over 60% of the total banknotes in circulation for the entire global BIC system. This superiority allowed Saigon not only to be self-sufficient in capital but also to possess the capacity for "reverse lending" to the colonial government and newly established branches. These figures demonstrate that Saigon had become a capital coordination center, where the cash flows from the exploitation of Cochinchina were concentrated, forming a strategic reserve ready to be poured into the costly military campaigns in the North.

The expansion into Tonkin (1885-1895) consumed enormous budgets that the metropolitan government in Paris often failed to meet promptly. It was at this moment that the Saigon branch stepped onto the stage as the supreme "creditor" of the expeditionary forces. The BIC's annual financial reports reveal massive "advances" (avances) from the Saigon branch to the colonial treasury to pay military salaries and purchase war supplies. Aumiphin (1994) argues that without timely financial support from Saigon, French military operations in Tonkin risked stagnation due to a budgetary crisis. The Saigon branch's ability to provide local cash made the bank an inseparable part of the French war machine. The flow of capital from Saigon to Haiphong and Hanoi during this period was, in essence, a form of intra-regional capital export, where profits from a stabilized land were used to finance a land under conquest.

The transformation of the BIC Saigon from a commercial branch into a "Colonial Financial Hub" was a historical turning point. In the initial stage, the branch focused on traditional commercial discount operations, but by the 1885-1895 period, it had evolved into an entity where political and economic power were intertwined. Meuleau (1990) observes that the BIC Saigon exercised a form of "banking power" that transcended economic boundaries, directly intervening in the territorial expansion plans of the Governor-General's administration. Being self-sufficient in capital gave the Saigon branch a decisive voice in selecting strategic infrastructure projects in Tonkin, such as railways or coal mines, as it was the only institution capable of immediate local cash disbursement. At this time, Saigon was not only sending silver bars but also exporting a colonial financial management model imposed across Indochina.

To maintain a continuous capacity for capital export, the BIC Saigon established a multi-layered credit system, ranging from seasonal loans for landowners to large credits for mining corporations. Duong (2021), in his research, analyzed how the symbiosis between banking power and administrative authority in Saigon created a "risk-free" investment environment for French capital. When the Saigon branch financed the invasion of Tonkin, they did not merely expect profit from interest rates; more importantly, they sought future exclusive rights to exploit the rich natural resources in the North. This calculation turned military loans into a form of venture investment backed by the barrel of a gun, with capital from Saigon serving as the "fuse" for the comprehensive colonial extraction process.

Finally, the discussion of the BIC Saigon's financial potential leads to a clear assertion: this was the epitome of French financial imperialism in East Asia. The superior surplus accumulated from Cochinchinese agriculture was converted into military financial power to subdue new territories. According to the State Bank of Vietnam (1976), the monetary presence of the BIC, originating from Saigon, established a new economic order where national financial sovereignty was completely abolished. Saigon was no longer just a branch of Paris in the Far East but had effectively become a "secondary financial Metropole," directly coordinating and shaping the destiny of the entire Indochinese peninsula through the figures on its balance sheets. Saigon's superiority over Pondichéry or Nouméa serves as evidence that Indochina had become the most precious jewel in the French colonial crown, and the BIC Saigon was the one who held the key to that treasure.

5.2. Military Financing Mechanisms for the Tonkin Theater (1885-1895)

The military financing mechanism provided by the Saigon branch of the Banque de l'Indochine (BIC) for the Tonkin theater during the decade of 1885-1895 represented a flawless symbiosis between financial capital and the colonial war machine. In a context where budgets from the metropolitan government in Paris were frequently delayed or stalled by political disputes in the Parliament, the Saigon branch emerged as a "frontline treasury," providing immediate financial resources to maintain the pace of the expedition. The core of this mechanism lay in the system of massive capital advances (avances) granted by the bank to the colonial administration. According to archival records on monetary history, from the early stages of expansion, the BIC signed secret agreements with the Governor of Cochinchina to ensure that the expeditionary forces in Tonkin always had cash available for salaries and local procurement. The State Bank of Vietnam (1976) notes that these advances were not merely credit operations but political tools that allowed the colonial government to reduce dependence on strict approvals from Paris while enabling the BIC to tighten its control over territorial expansion plans. In effect, during 1885-1895, the Saigon branch functioned as the supreme "creditor," where military fluctuations in Tonkin were quantified by continuous capital flows moving from Cochinchina to the North.

The French military expansion in Tonkin always ran parallel to the infiltration of colonial currency, creating a calculated process of "monetary conquest." The role of the Indochinese banknote issued by the Saigon branch was the key to establishing a solid "financial buffer" for the expeditionary forces. As French soldiers seized strategic positions in Haiphong, Hanoi, or the mining regions, the BIC's silver piastre simultaneously appeared to gradually replace the traditional copper, zinc, and silver bullion of the Hue court. Duong (2021) analyzes that the imposition of the piastre in Tonkin was not just a technical monetary act but a method of indirect exploitation through the privilege of issuance. By forcing the population and local contractors to accept BIC banknotes in military transactions, the Saigon branch created a coerced market for a currency whose circulation they fully controlled. This process helped the French establish a new economic order within the heart of the war, where the value of indigenous assets was determined by exchange rates set by the BIC, thereby transforming newly occupied lands into subsidiaries of the Saigon financial system.

Financial logistics for the war were further reinforced through the BIC's role as a credit guarantor for French capitalist conglomerates. Instead of paying directly in cash from the government treasury, the BIC Saigon branch implemented a guarantee mechanism for military supply contracts with major firms such as the Société française des charbonnages du Tonkin or fortification contractors. The BIC's guarantee allowed these businesses to confidently pour capital and provide services to the military in fierce combat zones without fearing liquidity risks. Gonjo (1993) emphasizes that the BIC functioned as a risk coordination center, where military debts were "digitized" and converted into profitable financial instruments by the bank. This guarantee mechanism not only ensured the continuity of the military supply chain but also paved the way for French capitalist groups to quickly monopolize resources in Tonkin as soon as the guns fell silent. The seamless coordination between Saigon – the capital hub, and Tonkin – the battlefield, turned the BIC into a "state within a state," managing both ammunition and currency.

The "capital export" nature of the Saigon branch during this period was also evident in its exploitation of the international silver depreciation to optimize war costs. While the gold-standard French franc was under pressure, the silver-based piastre allowed the BIC Saigon branch to maintain flexible purchasing power in East Asia. According to Pham Quang Trung (1997), leveraging silver price differentials enabled the BIC Saigon to reap enormous profits from exchange transactions related to the military budget, which were then reinvested into expanding the branch network in Haiphong and Hanoi. This constituted a sophisticated financial loop: capital accumulated from Cochinchina financed the military conquest of Tonkin, which in turn created a new market for BIC banknotes, yielding greater exchange profits to further nourish the ruling apparatus. Saigon, as the oldest and wealthiest branch, became the brain coordinating this entire cycle, transforming the war of expansion into a high-profit investment project for the French financial bourgeoisie.

Reflecting on the monetary history of this era, it can be asserted that the Indochinese banknote was not just a medium of exchange but a strategic weapon. The 1875 decree and supplementary documents from 1885 granted the BIC supreme power in shaping the economic landscape of new territories. Archival materials on the "Brief History of National Currency" reveal a fierce confrontation between traditional national currencies and the colonial piastre. Aumiphin (1994) argues that the victory of the piastre in the Tonkin theater marked the end of Vietnam's economic sovereignty, turning the nation into an entity entirely dependent on the French colonial banking system centered in Saigon. The BIC Saigon branch skillfully converted urgent military needs into long-term debt obligations of the colonial government to the bank, ensuring that even after the war ended, its financial influence persisted and deepened.

In summary, the BIC's military financing mechanism from 1885 to 1895 is a quintessential example of the theory of financial imperialism. Through capital advances, the imposition of banknotes, and military supply guarantees, the Saigon branch executed a brilliantly successful "monetary expedition," laying the groundwork for the stability of the French administration in Indochina in the following decades. As observed by Meuleau (1990), the BIC succeeded in turning war risks into financial expansion opportunities, reaffirming the irreplaceable role of the Saigon branch as the only and most effective intra-regional capital export hub of the French Empire in Asia. The connection between money from Saigon and bullets in Tonkin was the fateful thread that bound Vietnam's destiny to the orbit of French colonial capital for nearly a century.

5.3. Network Expansion: From Military "Oil Slick" to Banking "Web"

The network expansion of the Banque de l'Indochine (BIC) from Saigon to Tonkin during the 1885-1895 period was not merely a business expansion plan but the financial crystallization of the military "oil slick" strategy. As the French expeditionary forces began pacifying new lands, the Saigon branch immediately deployed financial "outposts" to consolidate control. The establishment of the Haiphong branch in 1885 and the Hanoi branch in 1887 is viewed by economic historians as an organic extension of the Saigon financial hub rather than direct instruction from Paris. In these volatile early years, the Northern branches functioned essentially as "satellites," entirely dependent on Saigon's resources. Gonjo (1993) points out that the majority of senior management and experienced accountants in Haiphong and Hanoi were dispatched from the Saigon branch—which already had over a decade of experience navigating the local economic environment.

Beyond personnel, the initial operating capital for these branches was a transfer of funds from the vaults in Saigon to meet local military payment needs, proving that Saigon served as a "secondary financial metropole" coordinating the colonization process in the North.

The correlation between the military map and the financial map during this period is evident in the BIC's timing and placement of branches. Haiphong, as the strategic logistical gateway for the Tonkin campaign, was prioritized for a branch as soon as the French military established relative control. According to Duong (2021), the presence of the BIC in Haiphong even before Hanoi reveals the pragmatic objectives of financial capital: controlling military goods and customs flows to secure military loans. As the military entered Hanoi and transformed it into an administrative center, the BIC appeared immediately to establish a payment network for the new ruling apparatus. This process created a tight intertwining where military power cleared the way for the bank, and the bank, by providing modern payment tools, helped stabilize the newly occupied lands, transforming them from war zones into economic units within the colonial system. Aumiphin (1994) argues that this network expansion created a financial "pincer movement," causing indigenous resistance forces not only to fail militarily but also to be paralyzed in their financial mobilization as the BIC's piastre began to dominate the market.

The "pre-emptive" strategy of BIC managers in Saigon remains one of the most fascinating chapters of the symbiosis between capital and bullets. Upon receiving the first reports of mineral resources in Tonkin, BIC executives in Saigon did not wait for sluggish decisions from Paris but actively lobbied the Board of Directors (BOD) in the metropole to finance military campaigns targeting mining regions. Secret telegrams and internal reports show that the financial elite in Saigon painted a vision of enormous profits from coal in Quang Yen (Hon Gai, Cam Pha) to persuade the Paris BOD to inject more funds for the expeditionary forces. Marc (1990) emphasizes that the BIC functioned as a politico-economic "broker," utilizing its financial power to pressure the French government into increasing occupation forces in resource-rich areas. The emergency financing for the military to occupy the mining regions was not a simple act of national defense support but a down payment to secure future financial control over mining corporations, exemplified by the formation of the Société Française des Charbonnages du Tonkin (SFCT).

This lobbying by the Saigon branch created an unprecedented precedent in French colonial history: a bank directly shaping the objectives of war. According to Meuleau (1990), BIC managers in Saigon understood perfectly that the value of a land lay not in its area but in its financial profitability. Consequently, capital flows from Saigon to Tonkin were prioritized for military units protecting strategic trade routes and key resource zones. This "eagerness" transformed the BIC into an entity with power equal to, and sometimes exceeding, that of military commanders on the ground in deciding the direction of army expansion. The State Bank of Vietnam (1976) notes that the assurance of funds from Saigon allowed French generals in Tonkin to undertake risky inland marches, knowing that the BIC's financial logistical system would always ensure supplies and salaries through the newly established branches.

The result of this strategy was the formation of a "financial empire" with a bipolar structure, centered in Saigon and extended through Northern branches. Haiphong and Hanoi's dependence on Saigon lasted for many years until the administration in Tonkin grew strong enough to become fiscally self-sufficient. However, regarding banking techniques, Saigon remained the central monetary "warehouse." Pham Quang Trung (1997) analyzes that all major transactions between Indochina and the world during this period had to pass through the books in Saigon, making the Northern branches essentially mere relay stations. This oil-slick expansion helped the BIC dominate the Vietnamese financial market rapidly and sustainably, creating an order in which the indigenous population was entirely excluded from vital capital flows.

Discussing this correlation, we observe a model of "Active Financial Colonialism." Rather than passive observers, the BIC financiers in Saigon became true strategists, using capital as a weapon for territorial expansion. Ta Thi Thuy (2018), in his study of the mining industry, asserts that without the BIC's "pre-emptive" military financing, coal extraction in Tonkin would have been delayed for decades due to a lack of initial investment capital and political instability. The BIC compensated for this deficit by creating a financially secure environment in the midst of a war zone. Saigon, once a rice trade center, became the brain of a comprehensive expansion machine where the figures on the balance sheets were written with the power of the army on the Northern battlefield.

In conclusion, the Haiphong and Hanoi branch networks were the fruits of the financial expansion strategy seeded by Saigon. The coordination between the military and financial maps not only helped France seize land but also enabled them to capture the economic "nervous system" of all of Vietnam. Saigon's role as a capital and personnel coordination center, combined with the strategy of lobbying Paris to occupy mining regions, reaffirms the "active invasion" nature of French financial capital. As finalized by Duong (2021), this expansion established a colonial economic structure in which Saigon was the heart, Tonkin was the resource, and the BIC was the circulatory system transporting exploitation throughout the length of the country.

5.4. Consequences: The Formation of a Bipolar Colonial Financial System

The most direct and profound consequence of the military financing mechanism from Saigon to Tonkin during the 1885-1895 period was the formation of a bipolar colonial financial system, where Saigon served as the "capital pump" and Tonkin as the "expansion laboratory." This process created an ironic reality: the near-absolute dependence of the French military administration on the "pockets" of the Banque de l'Indochine (BIC). French Governors and Generals on the ground frequently found themselves trapped between the pressure to pacify territories and the shortage of funds from Paris. In this context, the BIC Saigon branch was not merely a credit institution but had become a powerful political "patron." The State Bank of Vietnam (1976) notes that every major military campaign in Tonkin had to be secured by advances from the BIC, which inadvertently granted the bank a tacit "veto" over military plans that did not yield direct economic benefits. The colonial administration had tied itself into a loop of debt, where every inch of territory captured became collateral for subsequent loans from the BIC, transforming the ruling apparatus into a loyal debtor of financial capital.

This expansion did not stop at accounting figures but directly attacked Vietnam's monetary sovereignty, weakening the resistance of the Hue court from within. The introduction of Indochinese banknotes into circulation in Tonkin created a silent monetary invasion that was far more devastating than bullets. As the BIC's piastre became the sole accepted medium of exchange in colonial transactions, the traditional currencies of the court—copper, zinc, and silver bullion—were gradually marginalized, leading to a collapse in value and causing localized inflation in unoccupied regions. Aumiphin (1994) argues that by controlling the financial lifelines, France executed an irreversible economic assimilation, turning the indigenous population into links dependent on the value system imposed by the colonizers. The loss of monetary independence meant that the Hue court lost its most vital tool for regulating the economy and nourishing the army, ensuring that all subsequent resistance efforts fell into financial exhaustion from the very beginning.

Furthermore, this situation confirmed the existence of the "Intra-regional Capital Export" model—a distinctive feature of the French colonial system in Indochina. Instead of capital flowing directly from the metropole to the colony, we witness a specific flow: capital accumulated from the exploitation of taxes and resources in Cochinchina (the South) was reinvested to nourish the war in Tonkin. This was a calculated shift of resources intended to optimize profits for the financial elite in Paris without draining the French national budget. Gonjo (1993) emphasizes that the BIC functioned as a capital filtration machine, where profits from Southern rice were converted into Northern ammunition, ultimately creating coal and mineral extraction privileges for French capitalist conglomerates. This model shows that Saigon was not just a commercial center but a key "capital transit station," enabling the French Empire to maintain expansion using the resources of an old colony to seize a new one.

The impact of this bipolar financial system also extended to the social structure of Vietnam at that time. The presence of a banking network stretching from South to North formed a new intermediary class: indigenous contractors, shopkeepers, and civil servants whose livelihoods depended on the piastre. According to Duong (2021), dependence on the colonial financial system created a deep divide within the Vietnamese intelligentsia and business class, as some realized that cooperation with the BIC was the only path to maintaining economic status. This created a "social buffer" supporting colonial stability, dispersing the strength of patriotic movements that were already struggling financially. Meuleau (1990) adds that the BIC succeeded in "financializing" the conquest, turning military risks into quantifiable investment opportunities, thereby attracting more private capital from France into Indochina under the auspices of the Saigon branch.

Broadly speaking, the 1885-1895 period was a time when the BIC's financial map overwhelmed the administrative map of the Nguyen court. The connection between Saigon, Haiphong, and Hanoi through the banking system established a strict axis of economic control. Pham Quang Trung (1997) points out that the BIC's monopoly on banknote issuance turned the bank into a true "Monetary Government," possessing coordinating power that often exceeded even the Governor-General's Office. Consequently, Vietnam's national sovereignty was torn apart not only by territorial cession treaties but also by the BIC's balance sheets. While military invasion could end with treaties, financial assimilation left deep scars on the Vietnamese economy for nearly a century.

Finally, the most significant message the article aims to convey is the redefinition of the Saigon branch's role. It was not simply a bank branch in a distant colony, but a geopolitical creative entity. By financing the Tonkin expansion, Saigon proved that financial capital is capable of self-mobilizing and expanding its borders through delegated military violence. Aumiphin (1994) asserts that the BIC's success in establishing this bipolar system became the template for other French colonial expansions in Africa and the Pacific. Saigon, as the "heart" of that system, brilliantly fulfilled its mission of exporting capital, transforming the whole of Indochina into a massive financial estate for Paris, marking the beginning of a painful yet volatile chapter in Vietnamese monetary history.

6. Conclusion

Reflecting on the historical progression from 1885 to 1895, it can be asserted that the presence and activities of the Banque de l'Indochine (BIC) Saigon branch were not merely those of a commercial financial entity but functioned as a strategic pivot in the French colonial expansion into Tonkin. Saigon, originally a nascent port city, was rapidly transformed into a unique hub for intra-regional capital export, where capital flows accumulated from the exploitation of taxes and rice in Cochinchina were reinvested to nourish the war machine in the North. This study clarifies that without the timely and flexible financial support from the Saigon branch, French Governors and Generals in Tonkin would have struggled to maintain the intensity of pacification amidst a metropole budget that was frequently stagnant or curtailed due to political disputes in Paris. The BIC Saigon branch filled this void by operating as a "shadow treasury," providing emergency advances and credit guarantees, thereby converting military plans into potentially profitable investment projects.

The formation of a bipolar colonial financial system between Saigon and Tonkin demonstrated the pragmatism of French financial capital. During this period, the BIC did not merely issue banknotes for circulation; it utilized its monopoly power as a tool for economic assimilation. The gradual replacement of the Hue court’s traditional currencies by Indochinese banknotes in Tonkin created a state of "monetary invasion," fundamentally undermining the autonomy of the indigenous administration. The Hue court, already militarily exhausted, found itself in a financial deadlock as all vital economic resources were drawn toward the BIC's branches. This indicates that French expansion relied not only on the strength of arms but was also firmly consolidated by the dominance of the piastre, turning newly occupied territories into economic satellites entirely dependent on the coordination center in Saigon.

The concept of "Intra-regional Capital Export" proposed in this article opens a new perspective on French colonial structure. Typically, capital is understood to flow from the metropole to the colony; however, the case of the BIC during 1885-1895 reveals a reverse or parallel process: an old colony sustaining a new one. Massive profits from discounting rice trade bills and controlling foreign exchange in Saigon provided the necessary resources for France to extend its influence into Laos and Cambodia, while laying the foundation for large-scale mineral exploitation in Tonkin later on. The BIC successfully "financialized" political risks, transforming the Tonkin conquest into an attractive business opportunity for the French financial elite, thereby attracting further private capital flows into Indochina under the bank's patronage.

The social and political impacts of this process were also profoundly deep. The administration's dependence on the BIC created an inseparable power alliance between the military-administrative apparatus and financial capital. Decisions regarding warfare or truce in Tonkin sometimes originated not from France's national interests but from considerations of the BIC's ability to recover capital and preserve assets. Simultaneously, the penetration of the banking system formed a new class of indigenous people linked to the monetary economy, causing social differentiation and diminishing the strength of traditional resistance movements based on self-sufficient agricultural economies. The BIC Saigon branch, with its network extending to Haiphong and Hanoi, truly became the "Monetary Government" in Indochina, holding the lifeblood of the entire federation.

In summary, the article "Saigon Branch as a Capital Export Hub" has proven that finance was not just logistics for war but the very driver and purpose of expansion. The 1885-1895 period marked the significant transformation of the BIC from a local issuing bank into a multinational financial conglomerate with overarching influence. Saigon successfully fulfilled its mission as a "capital pump," creating the preconditions for the fierce first colonial exploitation after 1897. The legacy of this financial system left a lasting mark on Vietnam's economic structure throughout the first half of the 20th century, reminding us of an era when the nation's destiny was decided not only on the battlefield but also within the balance sheets of the Banque de l’Indochine.

References

Aumiphin, J. P. (1994). The financial and economic presence of the French in Indochina (1859-1939). Vietnam Association of Historical Sciences Publishing House.

Baudoin, H. (1903). La Banque de l'Indochine [The Bank of Indochina]. University of Paris.

Duong, T. Q. T. (2012). The formation and development of the banking system in Cochinchina 1875-1945. [Master’s thesis, Ho Chi Minh City University of Education].

Duong, T. Q. T. (2020). The process of fulfilling the role of financial capital of the Bank of Indochina in Vietnam (1875-1955). [Internal doctoral dissertation defense, Ho Chi Minh City University of Education].

Duong, T. Q. T. (2021). The Bank of Indochina in the process of French colonization in Vietnam (1875-1954). [Doctoral dissertation, Ho Chi Minh City University of Education].

Gonjo, Y. (1993). Colonial bank or commercial bank: The Bank of Indochina under the Third Republic (1875-1939). (T. Thanh, Trans.). Institute of Public Management and Economic Development, French Economic and Financial History Committee.

Le Failler, P. (2000). Opium and colonial authorities in Asia: From monopoly to prohibition 1897-1940. Culture and Information Publishing House & École française d'Extrême-Orient.

Meuleau, M. (1990). Pioneers in the Far East: History of the Bank of Indochina 1875-1975. (R. N. Nhân, Trans.). Librairie Arthème Fayard.

Pham, Q. T. (1997). History of agricultural credit in Vietnam (1875-1945). Social Sciences Publishing House.

State Bank of Vietnam. (1976). History of the State Bank of Vietnam 1951-1976, Vol. 1 (Draft). State Bank of Vietnam Publishing House.

Ta, T. T. (2018). The mining industry in Vietnam during the French colonial period. Social Sciences Publishing House.

Vo, K. C. (2017). History of Vietnam, Vol. 6 (1858-1896). Social Sciences Publishing House.